IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

CLERK'S MINUTES

BEFORE DISTRICT JUDGE JAMES O. BROWNING

Case No.: 14-66 JB/KBM Date: November 5, 2014

CASE CAPTION: FDIC v. Dee, et al.

CRD: K. Wild Court Reporter: M. Seal

COURT IN SESSION:8:38 a.m.
10:10 a.m.

COURT IN RECESS: 10:02 a.m. = 1:24
10:46 a.m. = :36

TOTAL = 2:00

Type of Proceeding: Motion Hearing (see below)

COURT'S RULING/DISPOSITION:

 Certain Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [15] – TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT – INCLINED TO DENY

2. Certain Defendants' Motion to Strike [16] – TO BE WITHDRAWN

3. Defendant Bobby J. Nafus's Motion to Dismiss [18] - TAKEN UNDER ADVISEMENT -

INCLINED TO DENY

ORDER CONSISTENT WITH COURT'S RULING TO BE PREPARED BY: Court

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF(S): ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT(S):

Dennis Klein/Derick Sohn Andrew Schultz (for All Defts. except Nafus)

Mary Torres Frank Carroll/Tom Alleman (for All Defts. except

Nafus)

Phil Krehbiel/Marina Hand/Nick Pino (for Deft.

Nafus)

PROCEEDINGS

COURT IN SESSION: 8:38 a.m.

Court: Calls case. Counsel enter appearances. Provides disclosure re: Marshall

Martin – if any party wishes more information re: same let Court/CRD know.

CERTAIN DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS [15]

&

CERTAIN DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE [16]

Mr. Carroll: Argues in support of motions.

Mr. Pino: Argues in support of motions.

9:32 a.m. Mr. Klein: Argues in response in opposition to motions.

Court in recess: 10:02 a.m.

Court in session: 10:10 a.m.

Mr. Carroll: Argues in reply in further support of motions.

10:15 a.m. Mr. Pino: Argues in reply in further support of motions.

10:23 a.m. Mr. Klein: Argues further in opposition to motions.

10:32 Mr. Carroll: Argues further in support of motions.

Mr. Pino: No further argument on motions.

CERTAIN DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO STRIKE [16]

Mr. Carroll: Argues in support of motion.

Mr. Pino: No argument on motion.

Mr. Klein: Argues in response in opposition to motion.

10:39 a.m. Mr. Pino: Argues further in support of motion – withdraws motion.

No other counsel object to withdrawal of motion.

Court: Requests submit notice of withdrawal.

Mr. Carroll: Will do so.

Court: Takes motions to dismiss under advisement – inclined to deny. Sets ISC on 12/9/14 at 8:30 a.m. – fine for counsel to appear telephonically - scheduling order will be issued. Will try to have decision out by the ISC. Anything further?

Counsel inform there is not.

COURT IN RECESS: 10:46 a.m.